

Anti-CGRP Monoclonal Antibodies and Gepant Side Effects (>2% in Clinical Trials) Per Medication Inserts

| Gepants rimegepant (r), ubrogepant (u), atogepant (a), and zavegepant(z) ¹⁻⁴ | Anti-CGRP monoclonal antibodies erenumab (er), eptinezumab (ep), galcanezumab (g), fremanezumab (f) | Is there a potential benefit to combining CGRP antagonists? |
|---|---|---|
| Nausea (a, u, r, z) Vomiting (z) Dry mouth (u) Somnolence (u, a) Decreased appetite (a) Constipation (a) Nasal discomfort (z) Taste disorders (z) Dizziness (a) | Injection site reactions (er, g, f) Constipation (er) Cramps, muscle spasms (er) Nasopharyngitis (ep) Hypersensitivity reactions (ep) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CGRP mAbs do not completely block peripheral CGRP signaling • Adding gepants can provide additional biologic effect • CGRP plasma levels first drop and then rise with up to 36% to 55% of CGRP still circulating freely • Modeling with galcanezumab showed minimum free CGRP is ≈22% to ≈28%, and maximum free CGRP is ≈50% to 55%⁹ |

1. Qulipta. Package insert. AbbVie Inc.; 2023; 2. Nurtec ODT. Package insert. Pfizer Inc.; 2023; 3. Ubrelvy. Package insert. AbbVie Inc.; 2023. 4. Zavzpret. Package insert. Pfizer Inc.; 2023; 5. Aimovig. Package insert. Amgen Inc.; 2023; 6. Vyepti. Package insert. Lundbeck Seattle BioPharmaceuticals, Inc.; 2022; 7. Emgality. Package insert. Eli Lilly and Company; 2021; 8. Ajovy. Package insert. Teva Pharmaceuticals; 2022; 9. Berman G, et al. *Headache*. 2020;60(8):1734-42.

Small Studies and Case Studies Support Safety and Tolerability When Combining CGRP Antagonists



Case 1: migraine without aura, 8 MMDs, moderate-to-severe intensity¹

- Used rimegepant 75 mg and then added erenumab 70 mg
- MMD declined by 46% over 4 weeks
- Treated 7 breakthrough migraines with rimegepant
- No treatment-related TEAEs



Case 2: migraine without aura, 11 MMDs, moderate-to-severe intensity¹

- Used rimegepant 75 mg and then added erenumab 140 mg
- Treated 9 breakthrough migraines with rimegepant within 30 days of starting erenumab
- No treatment-related TEAEs

| | |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Substudy² | Nested within a multicenter, open-label, long-term safety study; patients (n = 13) had 2-8 MMD, moderate-to-severe intensity; taking erenumab (er; n = 7), fremanezumab (fr; n = 4), galcanezumab (n = 2) and adding rimegepant 75mg for up to 12 weeks (mean = 9.6 weeks); Mean (SD) 4-week rimegepant exposure = 7.8 (5.5) ² |
| On treatment adverse events | Viral gastroenteritis (fr), moderate, unlikely related; 1 st -degree AV block (er), mild, possibly related; dizziness (er), possibly related; Not related: nasopharyngitis (fr, er); back pain (er); myalgia (er); sinusitis (er); contusion (er) |
| Serious adverse events | None; no AEs led to study drug discontinuation, no cases of ALT or AST levels >3× ULN |

Pharmacokinetic interactions²

- Probability of pharmacokinetic interactions between gepants and anti-CGRP monoclonal antibodies is low
- mAbs are not metabolized by cytochrome P450 (CYP) enzymes and interactions with concomitant medications that are substrates, inducers, or inhibitors of CYP enzymes are unlikely

AE, adverse event; AV, atrioventricular; mAb, monoclonal antibody; MMD, monthly migraine days; TEAE, treatment-emergent adverse event; ULN, upper limit of normal.

1. Mullin K, et al. *Neurology*. 2020;94:e2121-5; 2. Berman G, et al. *Headache*. 2020;60(8):1734-42.

No Safety Concerns Were Identified When Coadministering Ubrogapant With Erenumab or Galcanezumab

| | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--|--|-------------------------|-----------|
| Study design¹ | | Multicenter, open-label, phase 1b; adults (n = 40) received either ubrogapant plus erenumab or ubrogapant plus galcanezumab | | |
| Day | 1 | 8 | 12-15 | 16-45 |
| Arm 1 | Ubrogapant 100 mg | Erenumab 140 mg | Ubrogapant 100 mg daily | Follow-up |
| Arm 2 | Ubrogapant 100 mg | Galcanezumab 240 mg | Ubrogapant 100 mg daily | Follow up |
| TEAEs | Ubrogapant + erenumab: constipation (11%), nausea (11%), upper abdominal pain (11%); potentially clinically significant increased blood pressure (n = 1, day 13) Ubrogapant + galcanezumab: dizziness (11%) | | | |
| Serious AEs | No serious TEAE, TEAEs leading to discontinuation, or clinically relevant changes in laboratory parameters or vital signs | | | |
| Pharmacokinetic interactions | The pharmacokinetic profile for ubrogapant was not significantly changed when co-administered with erenumab or galcanezumab | | | |
| COURAGE² | | Real-world study; combination of ubrogapant (50 mg, 100 mg) with anti-CGRP mAb (+/- onabotulinumtoxin A) (n = 245) | | |
| Outcomes | 1st attack (n = 245) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 61.6% and 80.4% achieved MPR at 2 h and 4 h post-dose 34.7% and 55.5% achieved RNF at 2 h and 4 h post dose | | |
| | 10 attacks (n = 1153) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 51.3% and 73.5% achieved MPR at 2 h and 4 h post-dose 32.2% and 53.2% achieved RNF at 2 h and 4 h post dose | | |
| | 30 days (n = 231) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 72.7% reported satisfaction using ubrogapant with anti-CGRP mAb 79.7% achieved acute treatment optimization (moderate-maximum treatment efficiency) | | |

AE, adverse event; COURAGE, Combining UbRogepAnt and Preventives for MiGrainE; MPR, meaningful pain relief; RNF, return to normal function; TEAE, treatment emergent AE.

1. Jakate A, et al. *Headache*. 2021;61(4):642-52; 2. Lipton RB et al. *Neuro Ther*. 2024;13(1):69-83.

Coadministering Ubrogapant With Atogepant Was Considered Safe and Well Tolerated

| | |
|------------------------------|--|
| Study Design | Phase 1B study, multi-center, open-label, fixed sequence, adults (n = 26) with migraine for at least 1 year received ubrogapant (100mg) on day 1, atogepant (60 mg) on day 2-6, atogepant (60 mg), and ubrogapant (100 mg) every 3 days (day 7-28); primary outcome was clinically significant pharmacokinetic changes |
| Adverse events | Mild: constipation (51.6%), resolved without study drug discontinuation; nausea (35.5%); fatigue (25.8%); back pain (16.1%); abdominal pain (12.9%); neck pain (12.9%) Moderate: vomiting (1); not study-drug related No clinically meaningful changes in mean clinical laboratory values, vital signs, or ECG parameters; one case of palpitations, study related; no AST or ALT elevations >3x ULN |
| Serious adverse events | No deaths, serious AEs, or severe AE |
| Discontinuations | Mild, non-serious rash, not study-related (1); mild, non-serious drug eruption, possibly related to atogepant (1) |
| Pharmacokinetic interactions | Pharmacokinetic changes were not clinically meaningful |

Conclusion: No clinically relevant pharmacokinetic drug-drug interactions between atogepant and ubrogapant. The combination was well-tolerated and no new safety concerns were identified.